

AlaFile E-Notice

58-CV-2016-900703.00

To: DOUGLAS ANTHONY DELLACCIO JR. ddellaccio@corywatson.com

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA

WILLIAM JOSEPH ROHR AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF RYAN JOSEPH ROHR 58-CV-2016-900703.00

The following complaint was FILED on 8/25/2016 11:31:27 AM

Notice Date: 8/25/2016 11:31:27 AM

MARY HARRIS CIRCUIT COURT CLERK SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA POST OFFICE BOX 1810 112 NORTH MAIN STREET COLUMBIANA, AL, 35051

> 205-669-3760 mary.harris@alacourt.gov

		DOCUMENT 1	ELECTRONICALLY FILED 8/25/2016 11:31 AM 58-CV-2016-900703.00
		OVER SHEET	Ca: CIRCUIT COURT OF C SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA
Unified Judicial System	-	COURT - CIVIL CASE	56 SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA MARY HARRIS, CLERK
Form ARCiv-93 Rev.5/99	(Not For De	omestic Relations Cases)	Date of Filing: Judge Code: 08/25/2016
GENERAL INFORMATION			
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA WILLIAM JOSEPH ROHR AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF RYAN JOSEPH ROHR, DECEASED v. HOOT			
First Plaintiff: Business	✓ Individual	First Defendant: 🔽	Business Individual Government Other
NATURE OF SUIT: Select primary cause of action, by checking box (check only one) that best characterizes your action:			
TORTS: PERSONAL INJURY ✓ WDEA - Wrongful Death TONG - Negligence: Genera TOMV - Negligence: Motor N TOWA - Wantonness TOPL - Product Liability/AEI TOMM - Malpractice-Medica TOLM - Malpractice-Medica TOOM - Malpractice-Legal TOOM - Malpractice-Other TBFM - Fraud/Bad Faith/Mis TOXX - Other: TORTS: PERSONAL INJURY TOPE - Personal Property TORE - Real Property OTHER CIVIL FILINGS ABAN - Abandoned Automo ACCT - Account & Nonmorts ADPA - Administrative Agen ADPA - Administrative Proced	Vehicle MLD al srepresentation bile gage cy Appeal edure Act	Enforcement of A CVRT - Civil Rights COND - Condemnation/E CTMP - Contempt of Cou CONT - Contract/Ejectme CONT - Conversion EQND - Equity Non-Dam Injunction Election CVUD - Eviction Appeal/ FORJ - Foreign Judgmen FORF - Fruits of Crime F MSHC - Habeas CorpusA PFAB - Protection From FELA - Railroad/Seamar RPRO - Real Property	ficate Modification/Bond Forfeiture Appeal/ Agency Subpoena/Petition to Preserve Eminent Domain/Right-of-Way urt ent/Writ of Seizure ages Actions/Declaratory Judgment/ on Contest/Quiet Title/Sale For Division Unlawful Detainer nt forfeiture (Extraordinary Writ/Mandamus/Prohibition Abuse (FELA) e/Guardianship/Conservatorship ensation
ORIGIN: F ☑ INITIAL FILIN			
DISTRICT COURT R REMANDED T TRANSFERRED FROM OTHER CIRCUIT COURT			
HAS JURY TRIAL BEEN DEMANDED? VES NO Note: Checking "Yes" does not constitute a demand for a jury trial. (See Rules 38 and 39, Ala.R.Civ.P, for procedure)			
RELIEF REQUESTED:			
ATTORNEY CODE:			
DEL016 8/25/20 Date		/2016 11:31:05 AM	/s/ DOUGLAS ANTHONY DELLACCI Signature of Attorney/Party filing this form

ELECTRONICALLY FILED 8/25/2016 11:31 AM 58-CV-2016-900703.00 CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA MARY HARRIS, CLERK

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA

WILLIAM JOSEPH ROHR, as Administrator of the Estate of RYAN JOSEPH ROHR, deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

HOOTERS OF PELHAM, LLC; HOOTERS OF AMERICA, LLC; VICTORIA M. SULLIVAN; and Fictitious Party Defendants, No. 1, whether singular or plural, that individual or entity who or which negligently and/or wantonly hired, retained, trained or supervised the server of any spirituous liquors whose negligence or wantonness proximately caused the Plaintiff to be injured on the occasion made the basis of Plaintiff's complaint; No. 2, whether singular or plural, that individual or entity who or which was the master, principal or employer of the server of any spirituous liquors or that individual or entity for whom the server of any spirituous liquors was performing any type of service or duty whose negligence or wantonness proximately caused the Plaintiff to be injured on the occasion made the basis of Plaintiff's complaint; No. 3, whether singular or plural, that individual or entity who or which provided any insurance coverage, of whatever kind or character, to any of the named or Fictitious Party Defendants; No. 4, whether singular or plural, that individual or entity which is the franchisor, partnership, joint venture, parent company or holding company of any of those entities described above or any of the named or Fictitious Party Defendants; No. 5, whether singular or plural, that entity or those entities, other than those entities described above, which is the successor in interest of any of those entities described above; No. 6, whether singular or plural, that entity or those entities, other than those entities described above, which was the predecessor corporation of the entities described above; No. 7, whether singular or plural, that entity or those entities who or which had supervisory authority relating to the to the selection, training and hiring of servers of Ryan Joseph Rohr involved in the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit; No. 8, whether singular or plural, that entity or those entities, or individual, other than those named above, whose negligence, wantonness, or other wrongful conduct contributed to cause the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit; No. 9, whether singular or plural, that being that entity or those entities, other than those named above that served, dispensed, sold or provided spirituous liquors contrary to the provisions of law, causing the intoxication of Ryan Joseph Rohr, which led to the collision that killed Ryan Joseph Rohr; No. 10, whether singular or plural, that being the individual or individuals, other than those named above, that served, dispensed, sold or provided spirituous liquors contrary to the provisions of law causing the intoxication of Ryan Joseph Rohr or any other person, which led to the collision that killed Ryan Joseph Rohr. Plaintiff avers that the identities of the Fictitious

Party Defendants are otherwise unknown to the Plaintiff at this time, their identities as proper Party Defendants are not known to Plaintiff at this time but their true names will be substituted by amendment when the aforesaid lacking knowledge is ascertained,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

)

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, William Joseph Rohr, is over the age of nineteen and is a resident of Bartow County, Georgia.

2. The Plaintiff, William Joseph Rohr, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Ryan Joseph Rohr, deceased, was appointed Administrator of the Estate of Ryan Joseph Rohr by the Probate Court of Bartow County, Georgia on August 1, 2016. Plaintiff, William Joseph Rohr, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Ryan Joseph Rohr, deceased, brings this action.

3. Defendant, Hooters of Pelham, LLC is a business and/or foreign corporate entity doing business in Shelby County, Alabama.

4. Defendant, Hooters of America, LLC is a business and/or foreign corporate entity doing business in Shelby County, Alabama.

5. Defendant, Victoria M. Sullivan, is a resident of Elmore County, Alabama, is over the age of nineteen years, and was acting within the regular course and scope of her employment in Shelby County, Alabama, at the time of the incident made the basis of this suit.

FACTS

6. On or about May 25, 2016, Ryan Joseph Rohr purchased and was served spirituous liquors by Victoria M. Sullivan and/or Fictitious Defendants 9 and/or 10 while dining at the Hooters restaurant located at 400 Cahaba Valley Rd, Pelham, AL 35124. Mr. Rohr, who was under the age of 21 at the time of the purchases, was not asked by the server, Defendant Victoria M. Sullivan and/or

Fictitious Defendants 10, to present any form of identification to verify he was of legal age to purchase and be served spirituous liquors.

7. Mr. Rohr was accompanied by Jordon Colley, John Deal, and Dylan Spruill, none of which are parties to this action. Dylan Spruill was also under the age of 21 at the time, was also allowed to purchase and be served spirituous liquors without presenting identification to verify he was of legal age to purchase and be served spirituous liquors.

8. After dining and consuming multiple alcoholic beverages over the course of approximately two to three hours, Rohr and the other three individuals paid for the food and drink and left the restaurant to return to their hotel, which is located across the street from the Hooters restaurant.

9. Mr. Deal was the first to cross the street in front of the Hooters restaurant. He was followed by Mr. Spruill. Mr. Colley had begun to cross the street and was standing in the middle turning lane when Mr. Rohr began to cross. As Mr. Rohr was crossing the street intoxicated, he was struck by a vehicle. The impact propelled Mr. Rohr's body approximately thirty feet down the road.

10. Ryan Joseph Rohr, died as a result of the injuries he sustained just steps outside the Hooters restaurant.

(Dram Shop - Code of Alabama, 1975, § 6-5-71)

11. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

12. This count is brought pursuant to Code of Alabama, 1975, § 6-5-71, otherwise known as the Alabama Dram Shop Act.

13. The Alabama Dram Shop Act provides a cause of action by any person injured in consequence of the intoxication of any person against any person who provides alcohol, contrary to the provisions of law, causing the intoxication of such person.

14. On or about May 25, 2016, Defendants, their agents and employees sold, gave, or otherwise disposed of spirituous liquors to an underage minor, Ryan Joseph Rohr, contrary to the Dram Shop Act: Defendants and its employees sold Rohr spirituous liquors when he was an underage minor contrary to Code of Alabama § 6-5-71 and Rule No. 20-X-6-.09 of the Rules of the Alabama Alcoholic Beverages Control Board.

15. Defendants knew or should have known that Ryan Joseph Rohr was a minor child at the time they did unlawfully sell and serve him such spirituous liquors.

16. Defendants served, dispensed, sold or provided spirituous liquors to Rohr contrary to the provisions of Ala. Admin. Code § 20-X-6-.02(4), which states, "...No ABC Board on-premises licensee, employee or agent therefore shall serve any person alcoholic beverages if such person appears, considering the totality of the circumstances, to be intoxicated."

17. The Alabama Supreme Court has stated that in order to show a violation of the Dram Shop Act the plaintiff must prove three elements: The sale must have (1) been contrary to the provisions of law; (2) been the cause of the intoxication; and, (3) resulted in the plaintiff's injury. *Attalla Golf & Country Club v. Harris*, 601 So. 2d 965, 1992 Ala. LEXIS 700 (Ala. 1992)

18. Defendants were engaged in the business of selling alcoholic beverages and did negligently and/or wantonly sell, give, or otherwise dispose of the alcoholic beverages which were consumed by Ryan Joseph Rohr. As a proximate result of the negligent, wanton, willful and/or wrongful conduct of the Defendants in serving alcoholic beverages to Ryan Joseph Rohr, who was under the age of 21 years, Ryan Joseph Rohr became grossly intoxicated and was killed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a struck jury, plus interest and costs.

<u>COUNT II</u> (Civil Damages - Code of Alabama, 1975, § 6-5-70)

19. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

20. This count is brought pursuant to Code of Alabama, 1975, § 6-5-70, otherwise known as the Alabama Civil Damages Act.

21. The Alabama Civil Damages Act provides a cause of action by a parent or guardian of a minor injured as a consequence of intoxication against any person who provides spirituous liquors, contrary to the provisions of law, causing the intoxication of such person.

22. On or about May 25, 2016, Defendants, their agents and employees sold, gave, or otherwise disposed of spirituous liquors to an underage minor, Ryan Joseph Rohr, contrary to the Civil Damages Act: Defendants and its employees sold Rohr spirituous liquors when he was an underage minor contrary to Code of Alabama § 6-5-71 and Rule No. 20-X-6-.09 of the Rules of the Alabama Alcoholic Beverages Control Board.

23. The Alabama Supreme Court has stated that order to establish liability under the Civil Damages Act, a plaintiff must prove that the defendant (1) sold spirituous liquors to a person who was a minor, and (2) was chargeable with notice or knowledge of the minority. *McLeod v. Cannon Oil Corp.*, 603 So. 2d 889, 1992 Ala. LEXIS 597 (Ala. 1992)

24. Defendants knew or should have known that Ryan Joseph Rohr was a minor child at the time they did unlawfully sell and serve him such spirituous liquors.

25. Defendants were engaged in the business of selling alcoholic beverages and did negligently and/or wantonly sell, give, or otherwise dispose of the alcoholic beverages which were consumed by Ryan Joseph Rohr. As a proximate result of the negligent, wanton, willful and/or wrongful conduct of the Defendants in serving alcoholic beverages to Ryan Joseph Rohr, who was under the age of 21 years, Ryan Joseph Rohr was killed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a struck jury, plus interest and costs.

(Negligent Hiring, Retention, Supervision, Training)

26. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

27. On or around May 25, 2016, Defendants Hooters of Pelham, LLC, Hooters of America, LLC—and one or of the Fictitious Defendants—negligently hired and/or retained and/or failed to train and supervise its server, Defendant Victoria M. Sullivan and/or Fictitious Defendants 9 and/or 10, to lawfully serve and sell alcohol. Ms. Sullivan and Fictitious Defendants 9 and/or 10 failed to verify the age of the deceased and continued serving Mr. Rohr after he became intoxicated.

28. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligent, reckless, wanton, and/or wrongful conduct, Ryan Joseph Rohr became intoxicated and was killed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a struck jury, plus interest and costs.

<u>COUNT IV</u> (Respondeat Superior)

29. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

30. Defendant Victoria M. Sullivan, and Fictitious Defendants 9 and/or 10 were acting as an agent and/or employee of Defendants Hooters of Pelham, LLC, Hooters of America, LLC, and/or one or more of the Fictitious Party Defendants, within the line and scope of her employment or agency; and/or acting on behalf of and for the benefit of Defendants Hooters of Pelham, LLC, Hooters of America, LLC, and/or one or more of the Fictitious Party Defendants; and her conduct and actions were authorized and ratified by said Defendants, and done for the benefit of the Defendant(s). Defendants Hooters of Pelham, LLC, Hooters of America, LLC, and/or one or more of the Fictitious Party Defendants, are liable to Ryan Joseph Rohr under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*, vicarious liability and under the principles of agency.

31. As a direct and proximate consequence of the Defendant's wrongful conduct, Ryan Joseph Rohr was killed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a struck jury, plus interest and costs.

<u>COUNT V</u> (Fictitious Parties)

32. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

33. As a proximate consequence of the wrongful conduct, negligence, and/or wantonness of the Defendants, Hooters of Pelham, LLC, Hooters of America, LLC, Victoria M. Sullivan, and one or more of the fictitiously named Defendants, Ryan Joseph Rohr was killed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a struck jury, plus interest and costs.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands trial by struck jury.

s/ Douglas A. Dellaccio, Jr.

DOUGLAS A. DELLACCIO, JR. (DEL016) F. JEROME TAPLEY (TAP006) J. CURT TANNER (TAN012) Attorneys for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL:

CORY WATSON, P.C. 2131 Magnolia Avenue, Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama 35205 (205) 328-2200 (205) 324-7896, fax ddellaccio@corywatson.com jtapley@corywatson.com ctanner@corywatson.com

PLAINTIFF'SS ADDRESS

William Joseph Rohr, as Administrator of the Estate of Ryan Joseph Rohr, deceased. c/o CORY WATSON, P.C. 2131 Magnolia Avenue, Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama 35205

PLEASE SERVE DEFENDANTS BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Hooters of Pelham, LLC c/o CT Corporation System 2 North Jackson Street, Suite 605 Montgomery, AL 36104

Hooters of America, LLC Agent: Claudia Levitas 1815 The Exchange, Cobb Atlanta, GA 30339

Victoria M. Sullivan 811 Deatsville Hwy, Apt. B69 Millbrook, AL 36054